From: Brent O"Brien
To: USOGE
Subject: Proposed Rule: Legal Expense Fund Regulation (RIN 3209-AA50)
Date: Friday, June 17, 2022 6:55:32 PM

I oppose OGE's proposed ridiculous & corrupt "legal expense fund" regulation as drafted. OGE should:
- remove the exception that makes compliance with the regulation optional (WHAT POSSIBLE GOOD IS AN OPTIONAL REGULATION?!!);

- replace the proposed recusal requirement with a broader 5-year recusal requirement that prevents donors of cash gifts from influencing decisions, policies, or regulations affecting them or the industries in which they have substantial interests (DUH!);

- remove the offensive example involving an accused sexual harasser (SERIOUSLY WARPED!); and
- place nonprofit charities (501(c)(3) organizations) on an equal footing with large law firms by allowing them to hire legal counsel for whistleblowers (MAKES NO SENSE!).

I'm not certain who drafted this "optional regulation," but they seem to be a good candidate for deeper investigation of possible corruption given they proposed this garbage. Very suspicious.

Regards,
Brent K. O'Brien

Sent from my iPhone