From: David Kumhyr
To: USOGE
Subject: Proposed Rule: Legal Expense Fund Regulation (RIN 3209-AA50)
Date: Tuesday, June 21, 2022 12:56:03 PM

Dear Sir or Madam,

I am opposed the Office of Government Ethics has revision of the rule to make ethical compliance optional.

I oppose the Office of Government Ethics proposed legal expense fund regulation as drafted. Office of Government Ethics should:

- remove the exception that makes compliance with the regulation optional;
- replace the proposed recusal requirement with a broader 5-year recusal requirement that prevents donors of cash gifts from influencing decisions, policies, or regulations affecting them or the industries in which they have substantial interests;
- remove the offensive example involving an accused sexual harasser; and
- place nonprofit charities (501(c)(3) organizations) on an equal footing with large law firms by allowing them to hire legal counsel for whistleblowers.

Regards,
David Kumhyr
Austin Texas