From:	Niharika Ray
To:	USOGE
Subject:	Proposed Rule: Legal Expense Fund Regulation (RIN 3209-AA50)
Date:	Tuesday, June 21, 2022 12:29:04 PM

I oppose the OGE's proposed legal expense fund regulation as drafted. Optional compliance means nothing - no one would comply when the alternative is getting cash gifts, especially if it’s an industry that they have substantial holdings in.

OGE should:
1) Remove the exception that makes compliance with the regulation optional;
2) Replace the proposed recusal requirement with a broader 5-year recusal requirement that prevents donors of cash gifts from influencing decisions, policies, or regulations affecting them or the industries in which they have substantial interests;